



ZZW
D A C
\$

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. 10/740,480

Filing Date: 12/22/2003

Applicant(s) : WEN-HAI TSAO

Title : Cutting mechanism minute adjustment device for a stone cutter

EXAMINER : OJINI, EZIAMARA ANTHONY

GROUP ART UNIT : 3723

Mailed Jan. 26, 2006
Feng-Yuan City,
Taiwan 420, R.O.C.

TO : Commissioner of the Patents
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop **Petitions**
Randolph Building,
401 Dulany Street,
Alexandria , VA 22314 U.S.A.
TEL : 1-800-786-9199

Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137

Dear Sir :

In response to the Office Decision of the Office of Petitions mailed on Jan. 5, 2006 which is on the Petition of applicant to request for supplement of a Notice of Allowance and the Issue Fee Transmittal. Applicant has carefully searched the file jacket and severely states that the Issue Fee Transmittal Form is really non-received. Enclosed herewith is a copy of file jacket and hereby declares that all the statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements-made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are

punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, and that such willful false statements my jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon, or any patent to which this verified statement is directed.

In order to avoid the wasting of time, applicant hereby submits a copied Part B-Fee(s) Transmittal and check of USD700 (Note that this Issue Fee Transmittal Form is copied in referent to a oil case of may friend and completed by the applicant himself). There receiving this issue fee and granting this petition are respectfully requested.

※Attachment : A check of USD700,

An Issue Fee Transmittal,

A copy of the file jacket of this application.

Respectfully submitted

TSAO WEN HAI

WEN-HAI TSAO

Applicant Pro Se

P. O. Box 697,

Feng-Yuan City 420,

Taichung Hsien,

TAIWAN, R.O.C.

Jan. 26, 2006



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

O I P E

1AP68

JAN 27 2006

PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

WEN-HAI TSAO
P.O. BOX 697
FENG-YUAN CITY 420
TAICHUNG HSIEN
TAIWAN R.O.C.

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

COPY MAILED

JAN 05 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Wen-Hai Tsao :
Application No. 10/740,480 :
Filed: December 22, 2003 :
For: CUTTING MECHANISM MINUTE : ON PETITION
ADJUSTMENT DEVICE FOR A STONE :
CUTTER :
:

This is a decision on the Petition to request for supplement of a Notice of Allowance and the Issue Fee transmittal, filed December 8, 2004. The petition is properly treated under 37 CFR § 1.181. The delay in treating this petition is regretted.

The Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) is hereby dismissed.

Any further petition to revive the above-identified application must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137." This is **not** final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to timely and properly reply to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due, mailed September 8, 2004. The Notice set a non-extendable three (3) month period for reply. No response having been received, the application became abandoned on December 9, 2005. The mailing of this Decision precedes the mailing of a Notice of Abandonment.

Applicant filed the instant petition wherein Applicant avers that he did not receive the Notice.

In response to Applicant's petition, the Office mailed a supplemental Notice of Allowability on October 18, 2005¹.

Applicant filed a Communication to Issue Fee on November 14, 2005, and stated that the October 18, 2005 Notice of Allowance was received; however, no Issue Fee Transmittal Form was attached, "which causes applicant unable to pay the Issue Fee due." Applicant requested an Issue Fee Transmittal "so as to enable the applicant to timely pay the Issue Fee due for the above identified application."

Applicant is advised that an allegation that an Office action was not received may form the basis for revival of an application based upon unavoidable abandonment. If the allegation is adequately supported, the petition may be granted and a new Office action mailed. The showing required to establish non-receipt of an Office communication must include:

1. A statement from the Applicant stating that the Office communication was not received by the Applicant and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicate that the Office communication was not received.
2. A copy of the file jacket or docket record where the non-received Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in Applicant's statement.

Accordingly, Applicant's assertion, that the Notice was not received, is insufficient to justify granting of the petition. Applicant must also provide a copy of the file jacket and/or docket record (or file jacket) where the non-received Notice would have been entered had it been received and docketed.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

Applicant should file a Request for Reconsideration of Petition and include the necessary statements and copies of documents.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

¹Office records do not indicate that a Supplemental Notice of Allowance was mailed.

By mail: Commissioner for Patents
 PO Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (571) 273-8300
 Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: Customer Service Window
 Randolph Building
 401 Dulany Street
 Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3232.

Derek L. Woods
Derek L. Woods
Attorney
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy